MINUTES OF THE GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE
Tuesday, October 13, 2015, 4:00PM
Leavey Program Room
(approved, Dec. 15, 2015)

PRESENT: Berlinerblau, Bisenbach-Lucas, Boettcher, Bronstein, Connor-Linton, Cumby, Davis, DeFina, Ecelbarger, Engler, Gewanter, Glazer, Goldfrank, Groves, Hinkson, Hoffman (replacement for Ernst), Hyams, Iglarsh, Jensen, Kaltman (?), Krim, Lamm, Leister (by phone), Marhanka, McCartin, Mulroney, Olesko, Otter, Pfeiffer, Sadowsa, Saunders (by phone), Scott, Shaw, Steenhuisen, Withy

ABSENT: Abusharaf, Anderko, Arend, Bloch, Byrne, Calvert, Cohn, Colie, Cumby, Danielsen, Diamond, Djakiew, Donohue, Dutton, Engler, Ernst (sabb., 2015-16), Eshkevari, Evangelista, Feinerman, Fleming (replacement for Mezey), Gentic, Gillis, Harbert, Harris, Healton, Kamrad, Kertesz, Krim, LaRocque, Lieber, Luta, Marquez, McCabe, Mezey (ineligible 2015-?) Moran-Cruz, Montgomery, Moore, Natarajan, Parsons, Pellathy, Roshwald, Ross, Salles-Reese, Sandberg, Santos, Sheppard, Singer, Sonbol, Tercyak, Thomas, Treanor, J. Turner, S. Turner, Vroman (on leave, fall 2015), Young

GUESTS: Artemis Kirk (University Librarian, Lauinger), Adriana Kugler (Professor in MSPP), Judith McManus (Reference Librarian, Lauinger), Carlos Suarez-Quian (Professor in Biochemistry & Molecular and Cellular Biology)

The meeting was called to order by Wayne Davis at 4:00 p.m.

Approval of Minutes

Motion #1: The minutes of September 17, 2015 were approved with the following votes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Planning for the Library (Artemis Kirk, University Librarian)

University librarian Artemis Kirk reported on plans for the up-coming three year period. There will be upgrades to various areas of the main library and HVAC systems. In addition, she reported on the author subvention program, shared copy agreement, the preservation of print and e-resources. There is a need to understand exactly the faculty data needs for research (SHARE). Furthermore, she reported on collection development: there is a slight decline in print volumes, an increase in databases. Few e-books are downloadable. Current work includes a collections review with faculty, big deal packages (Springer was given as an example [477,000/year]), attempt at reducing overlapping databases which should result in savings. The library is also exploring partnerships with ORCID research identifiers and the role of open access for GU.

During Q&A, the issue of digitization of special collections was raised. Side issues in this regard are how to prioritize which collections should be digitized; significant expenses of digitization; that the authenticity of objects is not assessable in digitized format.

Confirmation of Appointments

The following committee appointments were approved:

a. Research Integrity Committee: Jagmeet Kanwal (Neurology);
b. GUMC Admissions Committee: Nassim Zecavati (Neurology)

Votes for Motion #2, approving appointments, was as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Open Forum

Lauve Steenhuisen, Theology, presented four proposals for consideration.

1. That the Faculty Senate create a ‘Committee for Non-Tenure Line Faculty Issues’ composed of NTL senators and interested allies.

2. That the Faculty Senate task the Provost’s office to provide to the Senate the names, titles, and email addresses of all NTL faculty by school.

3. That the Faculty Senate monitor the effectiveness of the implementation of the “NTL Faculty Framework” approved by the Senate and MCEF.

4. That the Faculty Senate task the Provost’s office to provide to the Senate statistical data on NTL faculty with regard to salary ranges per School, salary ranges per status (e.g. ‘assistant’, vs. ‘associate’ vs. ‘full’), and percentages of NTL faculty promoted to ‘Full Professor’ rank under the Implementation Plan.

They were moved and seconded. There was extensive discussion about the proposals which were received with great support. Issues that were raised included to what extent these
proposals would also effect NTL faculty at the Law and Med Centers. There was a question of sufficient clarity of the proposals and whether they should not be combined. There was a motion to table the proposals that was seconded. The motion to table was made with the understanding that the proposals would be further developed and that there was strong support for a Senate body to take up NTL faculty issues. The original motion was withdrawn.

**Discussion of the Faculty Responsibility Code**

Wayne Davis introduced the revised version of the Faculty Responsibility Code that incorporates feedback from the previous Senate discussion and additional responses received from faculty. There was a motion to approve the Faculty Responsibility Code for distribution to all faculty members for feedback. The motion was seconded and approved.

Motion #3, to approve Faculty Responsibility Code, were approved with the following votes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion #4 to adjourn had the following votes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The meeting was adjourned at 6:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter C. Pfeiffer
PCP/WAD/jhg