The meeting was called to order by Wayne Davis at 4:06 p.m.

Approval of Minutes

Motion #1: The minutes of December 15th, 2015 were approved with the following votes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Announcements

A) Second COACHE Survey
Climate survey for faculty, administered by Harvard Graduate School of Education. The first COACHE Survey in 2014 set a record for response rates. It is thought that the anonymity of the survey resulted in high response rates. This year, the survey will also include GUMC faculty as well.

B) Active Shooter Training and Website email was sent to Faculty by Jay Gruber. A follow up discussion will take place at the February 16th Senate meeting.

Sexual Assault Climate Survey
(presented by Rosemary Kilkenny)
Laura Cutway was introduced as the new Title IX coordinator.

Rosemary discussed the launch of the University Climate Survey on Sexual Assault and Sexual Misconduct, which was developed by students, faculty, staff working since last summer. The intent is to have survey distributed every other year. There are three goals: 1) to determine extent of assault and misconduct and needs for improvement, 2) To determine if students are aware of resources across campus, and 3) Use the results to compare incidents at other campuses across country. The student population completing the survey will not include online students, SCS students working toward certificates, or students at the Qatar campus. All other students, including graduate students will be included as a “census” approach. Faculty should encourage participation of the survey, which closes February 6th.

The survey will be distributed every two years to provide time for data analysis and implementation/reaction to results. Provost Groves commented on the methods/statistics of the survey and stressed how it will be compared to other universities, so this is exceptionally valuable (concern raised by Mark Danielson). Provost Groves also stressed that repeating the same survey every other year will help with establishing a benchmark and will allow for measurement of change (if any).

Revised Graduate School Constitution
(Presented by Dean Dr. Norberto M. Grzywacz and Vice Dean Sheila C. McMullan)

Dean Grzywacz and Vice Dean McMullan presented the major changes to the Graduate School of Arts and Science Constitution. The current constitution is over 20 years old, and needs updating to reflect three major changes in the structure of the Graduate School of Arts and Sciences:

1). Research administration responsibilities moved from Graduate School to the Office of the Provost. However, to protect the integrity of research within Graduate School programs, the Graduate Dean will remain on the Research Executive Committee and will continue to spearhead research training grants.

2). The number postdocs has increased, with no good mechanisms for post doc support (e.g., benefits). The revised constitution gives the Dean authority to develop policies for post docs.

3). The most significant change is to split the Graduate school duties into two functional areas. The Executive Council of the Graduate School will serve as the educational policy-making
body for the graduate programs directly administered by the Graduate School and will have representatives of all programs as well as administrators and appointees from the Faculty Senate and the Executive Committee. The Graduate School Executive Committee is renamed the Executive Committee of Graduate Studies and will retain its previous responsibilities as principal policy making body for graduate programs. Faculty membership will be increased from 16 to 20 tenured faculty members, as well as ex-officio including SCS Dean, Provost, EVP, school deans, and two voting students members, one PhD and one Masters each appointed by the Graduate Student Organization. Representation will be by cohort and the number of representatives will be reflected in the size of the cohort.

While the Senate does not need to approve the changes to the constitution, the Graduate School was requesting the endorsement of the Senate as it moves to an electronic vote by the faculty tomorrow (January 15th) with a deadline of January 20th (to allow time to present to the Board of Directors). A motion to endorse the new graduate school constitution was made by Peter Pfeiffer and was seconded. The motion was approved with the following votes:

Motion #2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Designing the Futures Advisory Committee

Wayne Davis introduced a proposal to establish a “Designing the Futures Advisory Committee” that would vet proposals coming out of the initiative for experimental courses (with UNXP or UNXD designation) and programs directed by the Associate Provost for Education. This proposal revised a proposal that was approved by the Main Campus Executive Faculty in 2014 and sets up a two-tiered approval process for course and program proposals, the first tier being the review by the “Designing the Futures Advisory Committee,” the second of the respective schools’ curriculum or executive committees. There was significant discussion about the need for this two-tiered system for course approval. After clarification that the committee would be advisory to the Associate Provost and not to “Designing the Futures”, an amendment was proposed to strike the second part of 2.a. of the proposal and add language making explicit the schools’ ability to limit counting of UNXP or UNXD towards their students’ degree requirements. The new version now reads: “Review and approve UNXP and UNXD courses for inclusion in the schedule of classes. Schools will determine how many of such courses may count towards their degrees.”

The motion was approved with the following votes:

Motion #3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thus amended proposal was then moved and seconded.

The motion was approved with the following votes:

Motion #4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The meeting was adjourned at 5:45 p.m.
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